
STATE-WIDE FOREST LEGACY ASSESSMENT OF NEED FOR THE SOUTH DAKOTA FOREST LEGACY PROGRAM



www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org

South Dakota Department of Agriculture
Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry
523 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, South Dakota

Prepared by:

Western Environment and Ecology, Inc.

2217 West Powers Avenue, Littleton, Colorado 80120

Phone 303-730-3452 Fax 303-730-3461

E-mail: greg@westernenvironment.com
Web Address: www.westernenvironment.com





STATE-WIDE FOREST LEGACY ASSESSMENT OF NEED FOR THE SOUTH DAKOTA FOREST LEGACY PROGRAM

Presented to:

South Dakota Department of Agriculture
Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry
523 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-3182

Western Environment and Ecology, Inc. 2217 West Powers Avenue Littleton, Colorado 80120 (303) 730-3452



United States Department of Agriculture

NOV - 2 2009

Office of the Secretary Washington, D.C. 20250

The Honorable Mike Rounds Governor State of South Dakota 500 East Capital Avenue Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Dear Governor Rounds:

Recently, the South Dakota Department of Agriculture requested approval of the Assessment of Need for the South Dakota Forest Legacy Program. Pursuant to our authority under Section 7 of the Cooperative Forestry Assistance Act of 1978 (16 USC 2103c), as amended, and by policy outlined in the Forest Legacy Program Implementation Guidelines of June 30, 2003, we have reviewed and approve the South Dakota Assessment of Need.

The South Dakota Department of Agriculture has identified seven Forest Legacy Areas which meet the eligibility criteria and are consistent with the State's program goals. These areas are described and mapped in the Assessment of Need.

I would like to thank you for your support of the Forest Legacy Program. Please contact Paul Ries, Director of Cooperative Forestry, at (202) 205-1389 for further assistance.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Vilsack

Secretary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Purpose	5 6
SECTION 1: STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA BACKGROUND INFORMATION Cultural Heritage Demographics Land Ownership and Use Native American Tribes and Reservations Geography and Soils Climate Rivers and Water Resources Agriculture Recreation and Aesthetics Forest Composition and Resources	8 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14
SECTION 2: FOREST LEGACY AREA ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY Step 1: Spatial Analysis Step 2: Public Participation	15 15 16 16 16 17
SECTION 3: FLA DESCRIPTIONS Harding Forest Legacy Area Black Hills Forest Legacy Area Pine Ridge/Rosebud Forest Legacy Area Red River Forest Legacy Area Lake Plain Forest Legacy Area Big Sioux Forest Legacy Area Lower Missouri Forest Legacy Area	20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
SECTION 4: FOREST LEGACY PROGRAM SELECTION CRITERIA	27
SECTION 5: FOREST LAND CONSERVATION AND LAND TRUSTS	30
Literature Cited	31
LIST OF FIGURES	
Population Density Per Square Mile for South Dakota Federal Lands and Indian Reservations in South Dakota South Dakota Forest Legacy Areas Map Harding Forest Legacy Area Map Black Hills Forest Legacy Area Map Pine Ridge/Rosebud Forest Legacy Area Map Red River Forest Legacy Area Map Lake Plain Forest Legacy Area Map Big Sioux Forest Legacy Area Map	9 10 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Forest Stewardship Potential and Private Forested Lands Maps

Appendix B: South Dakota Private Forest Land Assessment Survey

Appendix C: www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org Internet Site Appendix D: Ranked Average Response by Criteria Graph

Appendix E: Response Graphs by Criteria

Appendix F: Black Hills Forest Legacy Area Boundary Description

Appendix G: South Dakota Forest Legacy Program, Proposed Forest Legacy Area Maps

Introduction

The Forest Legacy Program (FLP) authorizes the USDA Forest Service to provide funds to state governments to purchase lands and permanent conservation easements to prevent private forests from being converted to non-forest uses. Forestlands that contain important scenic, cultural, recreation resources, fish and wildlife habitats, water resources, and other ecological values receive priority. Landowners that choose to participate in the program are required to develop a stewardship plan designed for their forest. Activities consistent with the stewardship plan, including timber harvesting, grazing, and recreation activities are permitted.

For South Dakota to participate in the FLP, the South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry (SDDRCF) was selected by the Governor of South Dakota to assess the need for such a program. This Assessment of Need (AON) document contains a background of South Dakota, an overview of the tasks performed, descriptions of each Forest Legacy Area (FLA), and selection criteria for inclusion in the FLP.

The purpose of the Forest Legacy Program is to protect environmentally important private forest areas that are threatened by conversion to non-forest uses. Specifically, the FLP in South Dakota will emphasize:

- 1. Protection of water quality and quantity.
- 2. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 3. Protection of lakes, rivers, streams, and other significant riparian areas.
- 4. Protection of private property owner rights and landowner lifestyles.
- 5. Protection of forested parcels in danger of conversion to commercial and/or residential development or being cleared for agriculture.
- 6. Protection and expansion of hunting and other recreation opportunities.
- 7. Protection of scenic landscapes.

These seven criteria were developed through a survey conducted as part of this AON. The survey was used to assess and include stakeholder interests in the FLP and to identify protection goals for the FLP.

Section 1 of this document presents information pertaining to the historical, cultural, physical, biological, and ecological elements of South Dakota, all of which influence land ownership and use patterns.

Section 2 presents the FLA assessment methodologies, including generation of the Primary Forest Conversion Map to identify areas in South Dakota that are in danger of

conversion from traditional forest uses, and gathering of information from landowners, natural resource agency personnel, and other stakeholders who may be involved in the FLP in the future as to what they felt were important criteria for the protection of privately owned forests.

Also contained in Section 2 is information gathered to aid the Legacy Program Manager and the State Forest Stewardship Coordinating Committee (SFSCC) in identifying geographic priorities and creating project selection criteria for each area and ranking procedures.

Section 3 identifies the seven Forest Legacy Areas (FLAs) in the state where the FLP will be implemented. For each of the seven FLAs, this document (1) designates the individual areas, (2) specifies Forest Legacy protection goals, and (3) describes topography, ecology, recreation, water, and other resources for each area.

Section 4 describes the project selection and ranking procedures used to support proposed FLP projects. Included in Section 4 are SFSCC selection and ranking criteria for inclusion in the FLP.

Section 5 lists the numerous land conservation programs currently in existence in South Dakota along with land trust organizations.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

When people think of South Dakota, things like agriculture, hunting and fishing opportunities, and tourism to places like Mount Rushmore, Deadwood, and the Badlands come to mind, but not necessarily forests. In reality, forests in South Dakota are sometimes overlooked within the state. Slightly more than 3% (1.7 million acres) of the land in South Dakota is forested, with 70% (1.19 million acres) of that forested land in public ownership.

Forest resources in South Dakota represent a unique mix of central hardwood forests, northern boreal forests, and Rocky Mountain forests along with important short and tall grass prairie ecosystems. Forests in South Dakota support the state's tourism opportunities, representing the second largest economic contribution to the state at over 2 billion dollars annually. Forest product utilization through saw lumber, post and pole products, wood pellets, and other products, provide jobs and year round income for many South Dakotans. Shelterbelts, wooded draws, and native woodlands also provide habitat for wildlife, which supports South Dakota's hunting recreation opportunities throughout the state.

With an estimated 14% increase in population statewide from 1990 to 2007, development pressures do not, on the surface, appear to be a direct threat to South Dakota's forests. However, when viewed spatially, many of the population increases are concentrated in the eastern and southeastern portions of the state in forested areas especially along the James and Big Sioux Rivers. In fact, Lincoln County, near Sioux Falls, was the ninth fastest growing county in the United States from 2000 to 2005, by percentage. There is also a significant increase in population and housing density in the Black Hills area that has led to fragmentation of larger privately held forested lands. Changing ownership of forested lands often means a loss of active forest stewardship.

This Assessment of Need for a Forest Legacy Program in South Dakota evaluates the current condition and uses of forests in South Dakota. This was accomplished by research of available literature and data, and with a survey of stakeholders throughout South Dakota that assessed values and attitudes for protection of South Dakota's privately owned forests. The stakeholders' responses were used to develop protection goals for the Forest Legacy Program in South Dakota.

During evaluation, the SFSCC identified seven individual Forest Legacy Areas for inclusion in the Forest Legacy Program- the Harding FLA, the Black Hills FLA, The Pine Ridge Rosebud FLA, the Lake Plain FLA, the Lower Missouri FLA, the Red River FLA, and the Big Sioux FLA.

This document, produced for the State of South Dakota, recommends the State of South Dakota priority FLAs and the selection and ranking procedures outlined for inclusion in the National Forest Legacy Program.

SECTION 1: STATE OF South Dakota BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Cultural Heritage

South Dakota has been occupied by humans for thousands of years. Early explorers in the 1700's encountered groups such as the Omaha and Arikara. By the 1800s, the Sioux, including the Dakota, Lakota, and Nakota, were the dominate tribes in the area. In the late 1700's, the area was claimed by the French as part of greater Louisiana.

In 1803, the United States purchased the Louisiana Territory from France. In the early 1800's, the Lewis and Clark Expedition followed the Missouri River through the state twice on their expeditions to the Pacific Ocean. In 1817, a fur trading post was established at Fort Pierre and was the economic and cultural center of the area through 1840.

The Dakota Territory was established by the United States government in 1861, which began the influx of northern European and Russian settlers. In 1889, the Dakota Territory was divided into two separate states (North Dakota and South Dakota) and both states were admitted to the Union on November 2, 1889.

Demographics

Demographic shifts throughout South Dakota have not been considerable during the last century. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the population change from 1990-2000 was just over 13% for the country but only 8.5% (696,004 to 754,844) for South Dakota. The 2006 estimated population was 781,919, an increase of 3.6%. South Dakota's population is approximately 88% white, 2% Hispanic, 0.9% black, 0.7% Asian, and 8.4% Native American, with a median age of 35.6. The population of South Dakota is projected to reach 866,000 by 2025.

Most of the population is concentrated in the eastern one-quarter of the State, along the Missouri, James, and Big Sioux Rivers, and along the foothills of the Black Hills area. Approximately 20% (156,393 people) of the state's population is located in Minnehaha County, which also includes the state's largest city of Sioux Falls. Over 55% of the state's population is concentrated in seven counties: Brookings, Brown, Codington, Lawrence, Lincoln, Meade, Minnehaha, Pennington, and Yankton Counties.




Figure 1: Population density per square mile for South Dakota

Land Ownership and Use

Of the 49.4 million acres (77,116 square miles) that make up South Dakota, 428,000 acres is covered by open water. 5,709,491 acres, or 11.6% of the state, is federal land including National Forest, Bureau of Land Management, National Grasslands, National Wildlife Refuges, and other federal lands. Indian reservations occupy 8,086,756 acres or 16.4% of the state. State owned land includes 856,729 acres or 1.7% of the state. Privately owned lands are the largest use of the state at 69.5% or 34,276,039 acres.




Figure 2: Federal Lands and Indian Reservations in South Dakota

Native American Tribes and Reservations

Native American tribes and reservations play an important role to the history and land use in South Dakota. As noted previously, native tribes have existed in the South Dakota area for thousands of years. Currently Sioux tribes represent the largest Native group in South Dakota. Nine Tribes and reservations are identified by the South Dakota Office of Tribal Government Relations. The nine tribes are as follows:

Tribe	Reservation	Area in Acres	# of Members
Cheyenne River Sioux	Cheyenne River	1,400,000	8,000
Crow Creek Sioux	Crow Creek	125,591	1,230
Flandreau Santee Sioux	Flandreau	2,356	726
Lower Brule Sioux	Lower Brule	132,601	1,308
Oglala Sioux	Pine Ridge	2,000,000	38,000
Rosebud Sioux	Rosebud	882,416	21,245
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Lake Traverse	106,153	9,894
Standing Rock Sioux	Standing Rock	562,366	10,133
Yankton Sioux	Yankton	40,000	3,500

Geography and Soils

South Dakota can generally be divided into three distinct regions, eastern, western, and the Black Hills area. Eastern South Dakota is more similar to the semi-humid Mississippi River Valley Region in rainfall and topography than the western portion. This area is dominated by agricultural and prairie lands within the James and Big Sioux River basins. These regions contain numerous glacial till lakes, rolling hills, and fertile soil which extends to neighboring states to the east and south. Most of the soils in eastern South Dakota are silt, silty loam, and silty clay loam with higher organic matter contents giving them a distinctive black appearance.

Western South Dakota is more characteristic of the Great Plains. This area is generally semi-arid and consists of rolling hills, plains, ravines, and buttes which rise 400 to 600 feet above the plains. Also located within this area are the Badlands in the southwestern portion of the state. Most of the soils in western South Dakota are silt, silty loam, and silty clay loam with higher organic matter contents giving them a distinctive black appearance, however this higher organic soil is not as deep as in the eastern portion of the state.

The Black Hills area encompasses 6,000 square miles with mountains that rise from 2,000 to 4,000 feet higher than the surrounding plains. The highest point in the Black Hills and in South Dakota is Harney Peak at 7,242 feet above sea level. This region is rich in mineral deposits such as gold, silver, copper, and lead. Soils in the Black Hills area are generally very shallow silt and loam soils with lower organic matter constituents.

Climate

South Dakota has a continental climate with four distinct seasons ranging from very cold winters to hot summers. Average highs in the summer can reach close to 90 degrees F with occasional hot periods reaching into the 100s. Winter average temperatures are below freezing for several months, occasionally averaging -10 degrees F. Average annual precipitation ranges from semi-arid in the northwestern portion of the state receiving 15 inches, to semi-humid areas in the southeastern portion receiving up to 25 inches of precipitation. Some areas in the Black Hills can receive as much as 30 inches of precipitation per year, much of which occurs as snow.

Summer thunderstorms in South Dakota can bring severe winds, hail, and thunder. The eastern portion of the state is considered part of "tornado alley" and experiences an average of 23 tornados per year. Severe blizzards in the winter and flooding rains in the summer frequently result in loss of crops, life, and millions of dollars of damage.

Rivers and Water Resources

Almost all of South Dakota's rivers and streams drain into the Missouri River, which runs from north to south through roughly the center of the state. Western rivers such as the White, Bad, Moreau, Cheyenne, Belle Fourche, and Grand Rivers generally flow from west to east to the Missouri. The three major river systems in the eastern portion of the state, the James, Vermillion, and Big Sioux Rivers, flow from north to south and join the Missouri River in the southeastern portion of the state.

South Dakota possesses enormous groundwater reserves. It is estimated that 42% of the water used in South Dakota comes from groundwater supplies and 78% of South Dakotans rely on groundwater for their domestic use. Thirty two percent of the water used for irrigation purposes is also supplied by groundwater resources.

Dams on the Missouri River create four large reservoirs: Lake Oahe, Lake Sharp, Lake Francis Case, and Lewis and Clark Lake. Hydroelectric power generated from these dams provides approximately one-half of the electricity used in South Dakota. Most of the natural lakes found in South Dakota are located in the eastern half of the state and have glacial origins. Reservoirs and smaller impoundments are found throughout the

western portion of the state and are used for irrigation and water for cattle.

Agriculture

Eighty-eight percent of the state's land area is dedicated to agricultural production. In 2007, South Dakota had approximately 31,300 farms and ranches utilizing 43.47 million acres. An estimated one-half of South Dakotans are employed in agriculture or related industries, such as crop and livestock production and processing. Livestock in the state includes an estimated 3.7 million head of cattle, 1.4 million hogs and pigs, 85,000 milk cows, and 355,000 head of sheep. The top crops produced in South Dakota in 2007 were soybeans (4.1 million acres), forage lands (3.7 million acres), corn for grain (3.2 million acres), wheat for grain (1.6 million acres), and corn for silage (0.6 million acres).

Crops in South Dakota vary by region. With a more arid climate and poorer soils, crops in the Black Hills area are limited to alfalfa, grass hay, and pasturelands. Limited production of oats for feeding of horses and livestock also occurs. Forestry products are also of economic importance in the Black Hills area which consist of Black Hills spruce, aspen, and ponderosa pine.

Agricultural crops in the central-western portion of the state consist of corn, wheat, millet, sorghum, and oats. Sunflower crops are grown for oil production and alfalfa, grass hay, and pastures are managed for livestock production. Other specialty crops planted in this area are buckwheat, chickpeas, safflower, and beans. Due to the semi-arid climate of the area, most of the agricultural production in the central-west depends upon irrigation from surface water and groundwater sources.

Due to the more humid climate and greater available water, agricultural production in eastern South Dakota is more prevalent and productive. Cereal grains such as corn, wheat, oats, sorghum, millet, barley, and rye are economically important crops. Oil based crops such as sunflower, flax, rapeseed, and more recently, soybeans have become major crops for South Dakota. Pasture crops such as alfalfa, grass hay, and pasturelands support the livestock production in the area along with the cereal grains and soybean meal. Specialty crops such as buckwheat, chickpeas, safflower, beans, vegetables, and organic farming are also found to a minor extent in eastern South Dakota. Due to the higher amounts of precipitation, less irrigation is needed in this area than in the western portion of the state.

Recreation and Aesthetics

Tourism and recreation in South Dakota are largely related to the state's forest resources. Although South Dakota is known for its agriculture production, trees create a mosaic that is visually attractive and offer many opportunities for outdoor enthusiasts. Game wildlife, such as ruffed grouse, white-tailed deer, elk, and wild turkeys, are forest-dependent. Additionally, many of the lakes and rivers that provide fishing and boating opportunities depend on the forests for water quality.

Hunting opportunities are present throughout South Dakota for waterfowl, birds, small game, and big game. According to the South Dakota Division of Wildlife, it is estimated that \$275 million was spent in South Dakota for hunting in 2004. With more than 1,100 square miles of rivers and lakes, fishing provides significant recreational opportunities in South Dakota. Expenditures for fishing in 2004 are estimated at \$181 million.

South Dakota has many other tourism destinations, which contribute over \$2 billion annually to the state economy. Notable tourist destinations include the Mount Rushmore National Memorial, the Crazy Horse Memorial, the Black Hills National Forest, Badlands National Park, Jewel Cave, and Wind Cave National Monuments. In addition, with over 60 state parks, recreation areas, and nature areas, South Dakota provides many year round recreational opportunities.

South Dakota also has five designated scenic byways. The Native American Scenic Byway begins near Running Water and travels through four Sioux Nation Tribal lands, the Crow Creek, the Lower Brule, the Cheyenne River, and the Standing Rock Sioux Tribes. This byway gives the traveler a perspective of South Dakota from the view of its Native American tribes. The Peter Norbeck National Scenic Byway travels through the Black Hills through three granite tunnels on Iron Mountain Road. The byway also travels over three pigtail bridges, which were specially designed and constructed for the steep and varied terrain.

The Spearfish Canyon State and National Forest Service Scenic Byway follows a 20-mile route through Spearfish Canyon. The byway travels along spruce, pine, aspen, birch, and oak covered hillsides and by the scenic Bridal Veil and Roughlock Falls. The Badlands Loop state scenic byway is a 30-mile loop through the formations of the Badlands National Park. This is a popular byway for photo opportunities. The Wildlife Loop state scenic byway travels through open grasslands and rolling hills in Custer State Park. This area is home to bison, elk, deer, pronghorn, big horn sheep, and wild burros.

Forest Composition and Resources

According to USDA Forest Service estimates, South Dakota contains approximately 1.7 million acres of forested lands, which accounts for slightly more than 3% of the total area of the state. Private ownership accounts for approximately 30% of that land and federal/state ownership accounting for the remaining 70%. The forests across the state are diverse with black walnut groves in the southeast, cottonwood bottom lands along the James and Big Sioux Rivers in the eastern portion of the state, upland hardwood forests of ash, elm, and oak along the Missouri River, and juniper along the Cheyenne River in the west-central portion of the state. Probably the most recognized forests are the ponderosa pine forests in the Black Hills area in the southwestern portion of the state.

Approximately 1.6 million acres of the state's forestland and 94% of the state's 1.5 million acres of timberland lie west of the Missouri River. Much of this timberland is located in the Black Hills area with 974,178 acres in the Black Hills National Forest, and approximately 177,331 acres in private ownership. The majority of the western timberland is made up of ponderosa pine. The Black Hills area is also home to some of the largest tourism destination spots in the state such as Mount Rushmore National Memorial, Black Hills National Forest, and Custer State Park.

SECTION 2: FOREST LEGACY AREA ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

To assess the need for protection of privately owned forest, and provide criteria by which priority forests would be chosen, the following activities were performed:

Step 1: Spatial Analysis

The SFSCC and the SDDRCF used many of the GIS maps constructed for the South Dakota Forest Stewardship Plan, 2007 Revision document to assist them in the assessment process. One of the maps used was the Privately Owned Forested Lands map which was constructed by extracting forested values from the NLCD2001 landcover raster dataset and masking out all of the publicly owned forestlands. The resulting map shows only privately owned forested lands within South Dakota. This map, combined with information from several other data sets, helped guide the selection of the Forest Legacy Areas.

Step 2: Public Participation

Western Environment and the Legacy Program Manager developed a two page questionnaire directed toward natural resource agencies, organizations, and individuals interested in natural resources, requesting their input on the Forest Legacy Program. The questionnaire was designed to provide the demographics of the responder including

age, income, setting, county of residence, zip code, setting of residence, education level, land ownership, and interest in the Forest Legacy Program. Additionally, the respondent was asked to choose their five most important criteria for protection of critical private forests from a list of 23 criteria. Space was available to enter criteria not provided on the list. The questionnaires were mailed with a cover letter and return addressed envelope.

Questionnaires were sent to South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry personnel, conservation/preservation association members, state elected officials, tribal offices, South Dakota Conservation District personnel, landowners, and other interested stakeholders identified by the program manager. A total number of 885 mailings were sent resulting in 140 returned questionnaires.

An interactive questionnaire was posted on the www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org internet site for interested parties to fill out the questionnaire online. Thirty organizations including conservation/preservation groups, landowner groups, state agencies, and other interested stakeholders were contacted online asking their membership to visit the internet site and fill out the questionnaire. Fifty-one responses were received on www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org . A copy of the questionnaire is presented in Appendix C.

www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org

Western Environment developed and populated www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org as an online resource. The site contains a home page describing the program, a program status page, and a survey page where individuals can provide their opinions regarding the protection of privately owned forestlands. Also included is a links page to other Forest Legacy programs and information, and a page to contact Western Environment or the Legacy Program Manager via e-mail. A copy of the internet site is presented in Appendix B.

Step 3: Statistical Analysis

Following receipt of the questionnaires, the data were entered into a database and queried into separate categories by response group. The groups are as follows; setting, age, income, education, interest, indication of owning >10 acres of forest land, internet site, direct mail, and all responses. Each response group was evaluated as to the percentage of respondents choosing each protection criteria. Those percentages were then tabulated to provide an average percentage of criteria selected for each criterion and a 95% confidence interval was generated for each average. The results of each criterion by group and an average for each criterion with a 95% confidence interval are presented in Appendix D. Graphs of criteria response by group are presented in Appendix E. A map showing survey responses by county is presented in Appendix F.

Step 4: Forest Stewardship Committee Evaluation

The Forest Stewardship Coordinating Committee met with the South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry and Western Environment to evaluate 11 GIS maps (Appendix A) and the data from the survey conducted by Western Environment.

The GIS maps evaluated were Private Forest Lands, Riparian Corridors, Forest Patch Size, Forest Health Issues, Priority Watersheds, Housing Density Changes, Public Drinking Water Supply Sources, Forested Watersheds, Proximity to Public Lands, T & E Species, and Slope. The SFSCC developed a list of Protection Goals based on the information in these maps and the results from the survey. Seven Forest Legacy Areas (FLA) were identified and specific goals were assigned to each FLA.

Conclusion

All responses to the questionnaire were entered into a database and queried for both demographic information and criteria selection. The results for each criterion chosen are as follows:

Criteria	% Chosen	Criteria	% Chosen
Water Quality/Quantity	53.6%	Flora/Fauna Species Diversity	17.3%
Wildlife Habitat	49.5%	Large Continuous Forests	17.1%
Wetland/Riparian Areas	31.6%	Threatened and Endangered Species	16.3%
Private Property Rights	30.3%	Unique Ecological Areas	16.0%
Growth/Sprawl Control	29.4%	Wildlife Viewing	9.5%
Wildfire Control Issues	28.9%	Bird Hunting	8.8%
Lakes, Rivers, & Streams	28.6%	Historical/Archaeological Sites	7.5%
Recreation Opportunities	26.9%	Fishing	7.3%
Lifestyle Protection for Land Owner	23.8%	Non-Timber Forest Products	5.7%
Forest Timber Resources	22.6%	Mineral/Gas/Oil Resources	5.7%
Scenic Landscape Viewing	19.2%	Private Hunting Reserves	3.0%

Big Game Hunting	17.3%		
------------------	-------	--	--

Based upon the results of the questionnaire, the top five criteria for protection of privately owned forests are water quantity/quality protection, wildlife habitat protection, wetland/riparian area protection, private property rights, and growth/sprawl control. The bottom five criteria chosen were historical/archaeological sites, fishing, non-timber forest products, mineral/gas/oil resources, and private hunting reserves. A breakdown of the demographic information for the respondents is included in Appendix G. A summary of comments made by survey respondents is included in Appendix H.

On August 7, 2008, the State Forest Stewardship Coordinating Committee (SFSCC), using the survey results, chose the following goals for the Forest Legacy Program:

- 1. Protection of water quality and quantity.
- 2. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 3. Protection of lakes, rivers, streams, and other significant riparian areas.
- 4. Protection of private property owner rights and landowner lifestyles.
- 5. Protection of forested parcels in danger of conversion to commercial and/or residential development or being cleared for agriculture.
- 6. Protection and expansion of hunting and other recreation opportunities.
- 7. Protection of scenic landscapes.

Additionally, the South Dakota SFSCC identified seven Forest Legacy Areas for inclusion in the Forest Legacy Program: the Harding FLA, the Black Hills FLA, The Pine Ridge Rosebud FLA, the Lake Plain FLA, the Lower Missouri FLA, the Red River FLA, and the Big Sioux FLA.





Figure 3: South Dakota Forest Legacy Areas with Privately Owned Forested Lands

SECTION 3: FLA DESCRIPTIONS

Harding Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes all privately owned, forested parcels in Harding County.



Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Harding Area:


- 1. Protection of unique ecological areas.
- 2. Protection of water quality/quantity resources.
- 3. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.

This Forest Legacy Area is located in Harding County in the northwestern most portion of South Dakota. With a reported population of 1,353 people, this is a sparsely populated county. Harding County is known for its unique and ecologically diverse landscapes and wildlife. This FLA is described as islands of green in a sea of rolling prairie, which refers to sporadic ponderosa pine hills, which rise above the grasslands. This area is known for its hunting opportunities and the primary uses of the area are for ranching. Historically this area was also home to several uranium mines.

Contained within the Harding FLA are areas of the Custer National Forest and BLM Land. Notable landmarks within the FLA are the Castles, which are a massive limestone uplift that resembles a medieval castle, and the Cave Hills, which hold important religious significance to Native American people.

Black Hills Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes all privately owned, forested parcels in portions of Butte, Meade, Lawrence, Pennington, Custer, and Fall River Counties. The boundary of the Black Hills FLA is described in Appendix F.



Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Black Hills Area:


- 1. Protection of forest timber resources.
- 2. Protection of scenic landscapes.
- 3. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 4. Protection of forested parcels in danger of conversion to commercial and/or residential development or being cleared for agriculture.
- 5. Management for wildfire control.
- 6. Protection of private property owner rights.
- 7. Protection of water quality/quantity resources.

This Forest Legacy Area encompasses the Black Hills area in the southwestern portion of South Dakota. With a combined population of 158,442, these counties represent approximately 21% of the total population of South Dakota. Development pressures, especially along the northern, eastern, and southern boundaries of the FLA, present fragmentation threats to the privately owned forests in this FLA. This area also presents significant threats to the forests from bark beetle infestation and wildfire occurrences. This FLA also contains the majority of sawtimber-sized forests and commercial use forests for the State of South Dakota.

Contained within the Black Hills FLA are numerous additional federal and state managed lands including the Black Hills National Forest, Mount Rushmore National Memorial, Jewel Cave National Monument, Bear Butte State Park, Roughlock Falls Nature Area, Custer State Park, and the George S. Mickelson Trail. This FLA also generates the majority of the tourism-based economies for the state.

<u>Pine Ridge/Rosebud Forest Legacy</u> <u>Area</u>

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes all privately owned and non-tribal forested trust land parcels in Shannon, Bennett, and Todd Counties.



Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Pine Ridge/Rosebud Area:

- 1. Protection of flora/fauna species diversity.
- 2. Protection of areas of cultural significance.
- 3. Protection of scenic landscapes.
- 4. Protection of the landowners' lifestyle.
- 5. Protection of unique ecological areas.

This Forest Legacy Area includes the counties of Shannon, Bennett, and Todd in the southwestern portion of South Dakota with a combined population of 25,090. This area is unique as it contains two of the nine Indian Reservations, the Rosebud and a portion of the Pine Ridge. Shannon County, in the western portion of the FLA, contains the highest population of Native Americans for any county in the United States. Economic opportunities in this FLA are limited with all three counties falling in the lowest 25 counties for per capita income in the United States.


Contained within this FLA are several lands managed by the federal government including Badlands National Park and Lacreek National Wildlife Refuge. As noted previously, the entire Rosebud Indian Reservation and a portion of the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation are included within the Pine Ridge/Rosebud FLA. The primary uses of this area are for tourism and agriculture.

Red River Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes the privately owned and non-tribal trust forested land parcels in Roberts and Grant Counties.

Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Red River Area:

- 1. Protection of flora/fauna species diversity.
- 2. Protection of significant riparian areas.
- 3. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 4. Protection of unique ecological areas.
- 5. Protection and expansion of recreation opportunities.
- 6. Protection of water resources.



This Forest Legacy Area contains the counties of Roberts and Grant in the northeastern corner of South Dakota with a combined population of 17,863. This area contains many of the glacial lakes, which are popular spots for walleye and perch fishing. Agricultural production is high in the FLA due to higher precipitation and fertile soils. Crops such as corn, oats, wheat, and soybeans are prevalent which support whitetail deer and pheasant populations.

Included in the Red River FLA is a portion of the Lake Traverse Indian Reservation. The only federally managed land within the FLA is Lake Traverse, which is managed by the Army Corps of Engineers. State managed lands include a portion of Sica Hollow State Park, Hartford Beach State Park, and Big Stone Island Natural Area. The primary uses of this FLA are agricultural, tourism, hunting, and fishing.

Lake Plain Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes the privately owned, forested parcels in Brown and Spink Counties.

Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Lake Plain Area:

- 1. Protection of flora/fauna species diversity.
- 2. Protection of significant riparian areas.
- 3. Protection of lifestyle for the landowner.
- 4. Protection of unique ecological areas.
- 5. Protection and expansion of recreation opportunities.
- 6. Protection of water resources.



This Forest Legacy Area consists of Brown and Spink Counties in northeastern South Dakota with a combined population of 42,914. With an estimated population of 35,000, Aberdeen in Brown County is the third largest city in South Dakota and has become a center for manufacturing, finance, and healthcare. This area contains many glacial lakes, which are popular spots for walleye and perch fishing. Agricultural production is significant in the FLA due to higher precipitation and fertile soils. Crops such as corn, oats, wheat, and soybeans are prevalent which also support whitetail deer, waterfowl, and pheasant populations.

The only federally managed lands within the Lake Plain FLA is the Sand Lake National Wildlife Refuge located in northeastern Brown County. The Sand Lake NWR is a 21,500 acre area, which is home to over 266 species of birds. State managed lands within the FLA include Richmond Lake Recreation Area, and Fisher Grove State Park. The primary uses of this FLA are agricultural, tourism, hunting, and fishing opportunities.

Big Sioux Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes the privately owned and non-trust tribal forested land parcels in Codington, Hamlin, Deuel, Kingsbury, Brookings, Lake, Moody, Davison, Hanson, McCook, Minnehaha, Turner, and Lincoln Counties.

Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Big Sioux Area:

- 1. Protection of threatened and endangered species habitat.
- 2. Protection of lakes, rivers, and streams.
- 3. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 4. Protection of significant riparian areas.
- 5. Protection and expansion of recreation opportunities.
- 6. Protection of water resources.
- 7. Protection of forested parcels in danger of conversion to commercial and/or residential development or being cleared for agriculture.

This Forest Legacy Area consists of the counties of Codington, Hamlin, Deuel, Kingsbury, Brookings, Lake, Moody, Davison, Hanson, McCook, Minnehaha, Turner, and Lincoln, along the eastern portion of South Dakota. This is the largest and most populous of the FLAs with a combined population of 296,814, or approximately 39% of the population of South Dakota. This FLA follows the majority of the Big Sioux Valley and contains many of the most fertile agricultural lands in the state along with the City of Sioux Falls, the largest city in South Dakota. Historically, the Sioux Falls economy has centered on quarries and agriculture. Currently Sioux Falls is a popular location for banking, health care facilities, and manufacturing. This FLA also contains countless glacial lakes.

The Big Sioux FLA contains a portion of the Lake Traverse Indian Reservation, and the Flandreau Indian Reservation in Moody County. State managed lands in this FLA include Sandy Shore, Pelican Lake, Lake Poinsett, Oakwood Lakes, Lake Cochrane, Lake Thompson, Lake Herman, Walkers Point, Lake Vermillion, Big Sioux, Palisades, Beaver Creek, Newton Hills, Lake Alvin, Spirit Mound, and Union Grove recreational areas. The primary uses of this FLA are agricultural, tourism, hunting, and fishing.



Lower Missouri Forest Legacy Area

Area Coverage Description: This Forest Legacy Area includes the privately owned and non-trust tribal forested land parcels in Brule, Gregory, Charles Mix, Bon Homme, Yankton, Clay, and Union Counties.



Forest Legacy Protection Goals for the Lower Missouri Area:

- 1. Protection of threatened and endangered species habitat.
- 2. Protection of forest timber resources.
- 3. Protection of significant wildlife habitat.
- 4. Protection of forest health issues.
- 5. Protection of areas of cultural significance.
- 6. Protection of water resources.
- 7. Protection of large continuous forest parcels.
- 8. Protection of forested parcels in danger of conversion to commercial and/or residential development or being cleared for agriculture.

This Forest Legacy Area consists of the counties of Brule, Gregory, Charles Mix, Bon Homme, Yankton, Clay, and Union in the southeastern portion of South Dakota with a combined population of 74,539. The Lower Missouri FLA follows the Missouri River from its confluence with the White River, to the southeastern corner of South Dakota. The primary feature of this FLA is the Missouri River, which flows through and along the southern boundary. Two of the four reservoirs on the Missouri, Lake Francis Case and Lewis and Clark Lake, are located within the Lower Missouri FLA. This area is known for agricultural production, tourism, and hunting and fishing.

The Yankton Indian Reservation, located in the eastern portion of Charles Mix County, is located within the Lower Missouri FLA. Federally managed lands within the FLA include the Missouri National Recreation River area and the Karl E. Mundt National Wildlife Refuge. State managed lands include North Wheeler, Pease Creek, North Point, Randall Creek, Springfield, Lewis and Clark, Chief White Crane, Pierson Ranch, Adams Homestead, Spirit Mound, Union Grove, Burke Lake, Platte Creek, Buryanek, and Snake Creek recreation areas.

SECTION 4: FOREST LEGACY PROGRAM SELECTION CRITERIA

Following a meeting of the State Forest Stewardship Coordinating Committee (SFSCC), on August 7, 2008, 23 criteria were identified with which prospective Forest Legacy Projects (FLP) will be ranked. Project proposals will be reviewed by a subcommittee of the SFSCC. Each proposal will receive a qualitative ranking based on the extent to which they address the criteria. Eight of the first nine criteria, marked with an "*", are required and must be clearly addressed in the project proposal. The remaining criteria will be evaluated as adding value to the proposal.

- 1. *FLA Area Inclusion: The proposed property boundary must lie, at least in part, within a defined Forest Legacy Area.
- 2. *Easement Condition: Easement terms must be clearly consistent with FLP guidelines.
- 3. *Plan Quality: The land management plan should encourage active forest stewardship in compliance with Forest Stewardship Program plan guidelines.
- 4. *Money Leverage: At least 25% of the project costs must be secured from non-federal cash or in-kind sources.
- 5. **Readiness:** Proposal must clearly describe the status of project development and the time line for transaction completion.
- 6. *Value of Project: Proposal must clearly describe the cost-benefit relationships of the project.
- 7. *Size: A parcel must be at least 5 acres to qualify for ranking. Larger parcels will generally be given a higher value than smaller parcels. Smaller parcels may be qualified for a higher value during ranking depending upon other considerations at the discretion of the selection committee.
- 8. *Area Goals: Project must support individual Forest Legacy Area goals. These goals were developed based on the results of the public participation survey.
- 9. *Mineral Rights: The Mineral Estate must be controlled by the FLP Applicant or the extraction, by surface methods, of mineral resources was so unlikely as to be deemed remote in the United States Internal Revenue Service Regulations 26 CFR 1.170A-14 (g)(4)(ii)(3). The aforementioned section includes in the definition of remote "Relevant factors to be considered in determining if the probability of

extraction or removal of minerals by surface mining is so remote as to be negligible include: Geological, geophysical or economic data showing the absence of mineral reserves on the property, or the lack of commercial feasibility at the time of the contribution, of surface mining the mineral interest".

- 10. **Forest Cover Condition:** Proposed property must meet the 75% forest cover program requirement. Current condition of the forested area and its use will be graded on a numbering system.
- 11. **Continuity With Other Protected Lands:** Proposed lands which are contiguous or adjacent to other public and protected lands will be given a higher point value than those that do not border public or protected lands.
- 12. **Wildlife Habitat:** Proposed lands which demonstrate important habitat to wildlife, or demonstrate a high diversity of wildlife species on the land will be given a higher ranking to those lands which do not contain significant wildlife habitat.
- 13. **Urgency:** Submitted projects will be given consideration dependent on the urgency of the project need, i.e. projects with an immediate danger of development or projects submitted by a landowner in advanced years or questionable health will be given a higher ranking than projects with a lesser threat of conversion.
- 14. **Partnerships With Plan:** Public or private partnerships with other conservation organizations will give proposed projects higher ranking than those that are relying on the FLP solely.
- 15. **Community Support:** Projects which can demonstrate support and/or acceptance from surrounding landowners or communities will be given a higher ranking than projects which have little or no acceptance from local community groups.
- 16. **Scenic Resources:** As scenic resources are an important part of the South Dakota forests, and to recreation enjoyment, proposed properties which have a positive aesthetic appearance, or those properties which would preserve an overall positive aesthetic appearance to the surrounding areas will be given higher consideration than areas that do not, or would not promote a positive aesthetic appearance.
- 17. Ecological/Environmental Significance and Resources: The projects which can display the occurrence of significant ecological or environmental resources, including threatened and endangered species, will be given a higher ranking than projects which do not have significant quantities or qualities of ecological or environmental resources.

- 18. **Wildfire Hazard Reduction:** As Wildland Fire/Urban Interface concerns are an increasingly important issue in South Dakota, projects located within the identified Red Zones, or projects that demonstrate a support for reduction of wildfire occurrences will receive greater consideration.
- 19. **Forest Health:** Projects which provide access for wildfire mitigation and insect control will be given a higher consideration and ranking than projects which do not.
- 20. **Aquatic Resources:** Submitted project plans which show a demonstrated effort to protect or enhance aquatic resources, such as lakes, rivers and streams, will be given a higher ranking and consideration than projects which do not.
- 21. **Controlled Public Access:** At the discretion of the SFSCC, project areas which allow public access for use of the subject property, or use of adjacent public lands will be ranked higher than plans which do not allow for access.
- 22. **Water Quality/Quantity Protection:** Submitted project plans which protect or enhance water quality resources in lakes, rivers, and streams will be given a higher ranking and consideration than projects which do not.
- 23. **Cultural Significance:** Project areas which contain significant cultural resources, such as historic homestead sites, archeological resources, or other historic sites, will be given a higher consideration than projects which do not.
- 24. **Multi State Proposals:** Proposals which incorporate Forest Legacy Areas in adjacent states will be given higher consideration.

SECTION 5: FOREST LAND CONSERVATION AND LAND TRUSTS

Existing Land Trust Programs

National, regional, and local land conservation organizations play a vital role in the protection of private and non-trust tribal lands in South Dakota. These organizations will be important partners in the success of the South Dakota Forest Legacy Program. South Dakota currently has a wide and extensive network of land trust and conservation partnerships.

National, Statewide, and Regional Land Trusts Operating in South Dakota.

American Farmland Trust
The Conservation Fund
The Nature Conservancy
Northern Prairies Land Trust
Land Trust Alliance
Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation
Spirit Mound Trust

Literature Cited

Ball, J., Erickson, D., & Lewandowski, C. Prairie Forests. Retrieved October 1, 2008, http://www.northern.edu/natsource/HABITATS/Prairi1.htm

Ball, J., & Erickson, D. South Dakota Forests. Retrieved October 1, 2008, http://www.northern.edu/natsource/HABITATS/Sdfore1.htm

Chadima, S. 1994. South Dakota Aquifers. Retrieved September 29, 2008. http://www.northern.edu/natsource/EARTH/Aquife1.htm

Jarrett, M. J., 1994. The Geology of South Dakota. Retrieved September 29, 2008. http://www.northern.edu/natsource/EARTH/Geolog1.htm

Leatherberry, E. C., Piva, R. J., & Josten, G. J.. 2000. South Dakota=s Forest Resources Outside the Black Hills National Forest, 1996. Res. Pap. NC-338. St. Paul, MN: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. 103p.

Malo, D. 1997. South Dakota's Physiographic Regions. Retrieved September 29, 2008. http://www.northern.edu/natsource/EARTH/Physio1.htm

National Atlas of the United States, 2003, http://nationalatlas.gov

Oglala Sioux Tribe, 2008. http://home.comcast.net/~zebrec/TC_Tribal_Council.htm

Piva, R. J., Haugan, D., Josten, G. J., & Brand, G. J., 2007. South Dakota's Forest Resources in 2005. Resour. Bull NRS-5 Newtown Square, PA. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. 22p.

Smith, V. J., Kopplin, C. J., Feske, D. M.,, & Jenks, J. A., South Dakota Gap Analysis Project Land Cover Classification and Analysis. Retrieved October 13, 2008, http://wfs.sdstate.edu/sdgap/gap%20analysis/SDgapanalysis.htm

South Dakota Department of Agriculture, Resource Conservation and Forestry Division, 2007. South Dakota Forest Stewardship Plan, 2007 Revision. Pierre, SD: Author. 27p.

South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks, 2004. Some Basic Facts on Hunting and Fishing. Retrieved September 29, 2008. http://www.sdgfp.info/Wildlife/BasicFacts.htm South Dakota Office of Tribal Government Relations, 2004. Nine Tribes of South Dakota. Retrieved September 29, 2008. http://state.sd.us/oia/tribes.asp

South Dakota Office of Tourism, 2008. http://www.travelsd.com/

South Dakota State Historical Society, 2006. Chronology of South Dakota History. Retrieved September 25, 2008. http://www.sdhistory.org/soc/soc_hist.htm

Stein, S. M., McRoberts, R. E., Alig, R. J., Nelson, M. D., Theobald, D.M., Eley, M., Dechter, M., & Carr, M. 2005. Forests on the Edge, Housing Development on America's Private Forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-636. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 16p

U. S. Department of Agriculture, 2007. South Dakota State Agricultural Overview B 2007 Retrieved September 24, 2008.


http://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/Ag_Overview/AgOverview_SD.pdf

U. S. Census Bureau, 2000. South Dakota by County - GCT-PL. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000. Retrieved September 26, 2008. http://factfinder.census.gov

U. S. National Park Service, Lewis and Clark Journey of Discovery, Louisiana Purchase. Retrieved September 25, 2008.

http://www.nps.gov/archive/jeff/LewisClark2/Circa1804/Heritage/LouisianaPurchase/LouisianaPurchase.htm

Woodard, H. J., 2005, Natural Resources of South Dakota. Retrieved September 29, 2008, http://plantsci.sdstate.edu/woodardh/



Appendix A GIS Map Layers

Riparian Corridors South Dakota SAP Project: Layer 1





Riparian Buffer Zone

For South Dakota we extracted the rivers and stream data that covered the State of South Dakota. This data was the neutron precised to select all the major streams, all the percental streams and those key intermittant streams that remain flowing during "normal" rainfall years. Then this data was buffered by a selected distance (minimum 50 SMZ each side) to produce a buffered dataset. This buffered dataset was then converted to a ESRI Grid to produce the final data layer (raster).

Priority Watersheds South Dakota SAP Project: Layer 2 Campbell Marshall Corson Harding Roberts Brown Perkins Walworth Edmunds Day Dewey Faulk Ziebach Potter Butte Spink Clark Sully Hamlin Hughes Beadle Brookings Kingsbury Haakon Pennington Buffalo Jerauld Moody Sanborn Miner Custer Jackson Brule Minnehaha McCook Davison Hanson Mellette Shannon Douglas Tripp Hutchinson FallRiver Tumer Lincoln Bennett Todd CharlesMix Gregory Yankton BonHomme Clay Union J Notes: LEGEND Priority Watersheds in South Dakota were determined using the "319 Project Status" dataset produced by the South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR). SD DENR created this dataset from a combination of HUC 11 Watershed datasets, scanned DENR project maps, and for new data HUC 12 watersheds. This data is always being updated. The key attribute in this dataset is DENR Status, in which there are 4 watershed categories: Assessed, Assessing, Implemented, and Implementing, For South Dakota SAP only the "Implementing" DENR Status category is considered South Dakota Counties S No Data Priority Watersheds as "priority watersheds."

Minimum Forest Patch Size: South Dakota South Dakota SAP Project: Layer 3 Campbell McPherson Marshall Harding Roberts Brown Perkins Walworth Edmunds Day Dewey Grant Potter Faulk Ziebach Codington Spink Clark Deuel Sully Hamlin Meade Hyde Hand Lawrence Stanley Hughes Beadle Brookings Kingsbury Haakon Buffalo Jerauld Pennington Miner Moody Lake Sanborn Jones Lyman Jackson Brule Aurora Minnehaha McCook Davison Hanson Mellette Douglas Tripp Hutchinson Turner Lincoln Bennett CharlesMix Yankton BonHomme Union ! Clay Notes: Legend The goal of the "grouped forest patches" layer is to determine minimum patch size for the state and emphasize management of these forested areas. To create this dataset, larger contiguous patches of forests need to be isolated and patches below the size threshold need to be removed. For South South Dakota Counties Dakota the threshold patch size is set to 50 acres or 202,341 square meters (square map units). Excluded Forest Patches < 50 Acres The initial forested land data was extracted from the 2001 NLCD raster dataset. Forested values 41, 42, 43, & 91 were selected and reclassed to a value of "1", All non forested lands were reclassed to a value of "no data". The state roads layer was then buffered by 66 ft and converted into a


raster layer. This buffered roads raster layer was subtracted from the forested land raster layer to create the initial unorganized forest patches layer.


This layer is then processed in "Spatial Analyst" with the "RegionGroup" command which classifies each "forest patch". Next the "ZonalGeometry tool is used to add an "Area" attribute to the data layer. Finally the "ExtractByAttributes" tool is used to select all large forested patches that are

larger than 50 acres. This results in the grouped forest patches data layer.

Non Forest

Large Forest Patches >= 50 Acres





Privately Owned Forested Lands: South Dakota South Dakota SAP Project: Layer 6 Campbell McPherson Marshall Harding Perkins Walworth Edmunds Grant Potter Faulk Ziebach Codington Spink Clark Deuel Sully Hamlin Meade Hyde Stanley Hughes Beadle Brookings Kingsbury Haakon Buffalo Jerauld Moody Miner Sanborn Lyman Ашгога Minnehaha Hanson McCook Davison Mellette Douglas Hutchinson Turner Lincoln Bennett Yankton. BonHomme Notes: Legend The goal of the privately forested lands layer is to show where all of the states privately held forests lie. This includes timberlands, riparian areas, very small "patches" of forests, shelterbelts, and shelter-pastures. Most stewardship projects involve privately owned forests, South Dakota Counties This data was extracted from the NLCD2001 landcover raster dataset. Forested values 41, 42, 43, & 91 (Deciduous Forest, Conifer Forest, 0 No Data Mixed Forest, and Woody Wetlands) were selected and reclassed 1 for forest lands, 0 for all other lands. Then the analysis mask (Layer 13) was used to mask out all of the publicly owned forestlands. The resulting grid includes only the privately owned forestlands. Private Forested Lands

Proximity to Public Lands South Dakota SAP Project: Layer 7 DEWEY HAAKON D BUFFALO **JERAULD** SANBORN a JONES HANSON SHANNON HUTCHINSON LINCOLN CHARLESMIX TODD GREGORY BONHOMME CLAY . Legend NOTES: South Dakota Counties This dataset was created by buffering the Federal and State public lands geodataset by 800 meters (~1/2 mile). The purpose of this buffer is to locate private lands that are in close proximity to public lands. Land owners who live next to public lands can be affected by weeds, insects, wildfire, etc. that originate on those lands. Public Lands Proximity Buffer The Federal and State public lands dataset is a subset of the analysis mask layer, Lakes & Reservoirs minus the census water bodies and urban areas.

Forested Wetlands

South Dakota Spatial Analysis Project Layer 8





County Boundaries



No Data




Forested Wetlands

The data for South Dakkda's Forested wellands came from a 30M Raster version of the National Wellands Inventory (NWI) dataset covering the entire state of South Dakota. NWI data was created by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to produce information on the characteristics, locations and extent of the nation's wellands and deepwater habitats. Currently digital NWI data exists for the entire state of South Dakota at 1:24,000 scale in both vector and raster form.

The current NWI classification scheme takes a hierarchical approach to classifying different wetland types. This involves "systems", "subsystems", "classes", "subclasses", and "additional modifiers". South Dakota has only three wetland systems, Lacustrine, Palustrine, and Knyerine, For SAP analysis we are concerned with those wetland systems that contain forested "classes". These "classes" include "Forested Vetlands" and "Sorub Shrub Wetlands" and "Sorub Shrub Wetlands".

From the NVW Wetlands raster dataset the final "Forested Wetlands" dataset was created by reclassifying those wetland classes titled 'Forested Wetlands' and 'Scrub Shrub Wetlands' as "1" and all other wetland classes and systems were reclassed as "0". This produced the final raster dataset titled "Forested Wetlands"



Forest Health Issues: Bark Beetles, Borers, Etc. South Dakota Sap Project: Layer 10 Campbell McPherson Marshall Corson Harding Roberts Brown Perkins Walworth Edmunds Day Dewey Grant Potter Faulk Ziebach Butte Codington Spink Clark Deuel Sully Hamlin Meade Hyde Hand Stanley Hughes Beadle Brookings Kingsbury Haakon Buffalo Jerauld Pennington Lake Moody Sanborn Miner Jones Lyman Jackson Brule Aurora Davison Hanson McCook Minnehaha Mellette Douglas Tripp Hutchinson FallRiver Turner Lincoln Bennett CharlesMix Todd Gregory Yankton BonHomme Clay Union Notes: Legend Pine bark beetle epidemics are by far the largest resource threat to South Dakota's Forests. This Forest health layer was produced using USFS Region 2 Forest Health (FHM) Aerial Survey data (Online source: ftp://ftp2.fs.fed.us/incoming/r2/aerial_survey/) Aerial survey from the past three years (2005, 2004 and 2003) were used to better illustrate the bark beetles extent. County Boundaries DCA1 codes of 11006, 11029, 11030, and 11055 were selected to show areas of bark beetle activity. DCA1 codes of 15005, 24022, and 25000 were selected to show areas of twolined chestrut borer, DED and other defoliator issues. Other DCA1 codes selected are 30000 (fire damage), 50000 series (various weather damages), and 70000 series (man caused damages). No Data

These datasets were then converted to raster form (ESRI Grid) and merged together.

Bark Beetles, Borers, Weather, Etc.

Developing Areas South Dakota Spatial Analysis Project: Layer 11 McPherson Marshall Härding Walworth Edmunds Potter Ziebach Sully Meade Hyde Kingsbury Haakon Sanborn Miner Douglas Hutchinson Legend Notes: Dr. David Theobald produced the housing density layer used for South Dakota SAP analysis (and other western states SAP analysis). This data is an updated version of the US Housing Density dataset produced for his "Forest on the Edge" study. The data is produced by masking out state and federal lands and census water from the 2000 census block data and calculating acres per County Boundaries house. Next housing density was projected forward by Dr. Theobald using current development trends. For SAP purposes the 2030 density projections were subtracted from the 2000 density to determine areas under pressure from development. Areas of Non Concern Finally the "developing areas" raster layer is reclassed so cells representing development return a "1" value, and cells not representing development return a "0" (NoData) value. Developing Areas of Concern

Appendix B www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org Internet Site



Development of South Dakota's forested areas poses an increasing threat to maintaining the integrity of our State's valuable forest lands. Intact forest lands supply timber products, wildlife habitat, soil and watershed protection, aesthetics, and recreational opportunities. However, as these areas are fragmented and disappear, so do the benefits they provide. While local governments often guide development away from the most sensitive areas through traditional land use controls (such as zoning and performance standards) sometimes these measures are not sufficient to fully protect the forest component of our natural resource base.



The Forest Legacy Program (FLP), is a federally funded and state administered program which supports

efforts to protect privately owned forested lands that are environmentally, economically, and socially critical. Designed to encourage the protection of privately owned and nontrust tribal forest lands, the FLP is an entirely voluntary program. To maximize the public benefits it achieves, the program focuses on the acquisition of partial interests in privately owned and nontrust tribal forest lands through conservation easements. The FLP helps States develop and carry out their forest conservation plans. It encourages and supports the acquisition of conservation easements, legally binding agreements which transfer a negotiated set of property rights from one party to another, without removing the property from private ownership. Most FLP conservation easements restrict development, require sustainable forestry practices, and protect other values.

The South Dakota Department of Agriculture, Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry is in the process of developing the Forest Legacy Program for South Dakota. Please visit the Program Status page for a review of current activities.

Get the Survey Results

Request Survey

Related Links

- * National Forest Legacy Program
- South Dakota Division of Resource

Conservation and Forestry

- Forest Legacy Program
- . Western Environment and Ecology, Inc.









South Dakota Forest Legacy Program Status

The South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry was designated by Governor Mike Rounds as the lead



agency for the Forest Legacy Program in South Dakota. The South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry has since contracted with Western Environment and Ecology, Inc., a Littleton, Colorado

environmental consulting firm, to conduct a statewide Assessment of Need (AON) study. Western Environment and Ecology, Inc. has successfully prepared AONs for the States of Colorado, Nebraska, and Nevada.

The AON for the State of South Dakota will identify areas of the State where private and nontrust tribal forest lands are at a greater risk of conversion to non-forest uses. In addition, it will secure South Dakota's eligibility for federal matching funds through the National Forest Legacy Program. This program is federally funded and administered by the State. It provides financial support for conservation easements and other voluntary land protection activities.

The AON process will gather and evaluate a variety of geographic, environmental, and ecologic information. In addition, a critical component is



to measure the interests and concerns of stakeholders who may be involved in the future of private forest lands. Such information is being gathered through interviews and a formal survey. If you have not already done so, please click on the survey link and take a few moments to complete the survey by July 18, 2008. Your input will provide valuable information.

The AON document will be completed by September 26, 2008. The South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry program manager will then work with the State Forest Stewardship Coordinating Committee to design and implement the South Dakota Forest Legacy Program.



Related Resources

We will continue to add links to this page as necessary. If you know of a link you think should be here, please let us know (link to email)

- · National Forest Legacy Program
- South Dakota Division of Resource

Conservation and Forestry

- · ForestLegacy Program
- · Western Environment and Ecology, Inc.





Appendix C South Dakota Private Forest Land Assessment Survey

SOUTH DAKOTA PRIVATE FOREST LAND ASSESSMENT SURVEY

The South Dakota Division of Resource Conservation and Forestry is assessing the status of South Dakota's private forest and nontrust tribal forest lands to determine the risks of conversion to non-forest uses. This information will enable us to discover what technical and financial resources are needed to support private and nontrust tribal landowners who wish to ensure the health, productivity, and ecological value of their forest land.

This process will gather and evaluate a variety of geographic and environmental information. In addition, a critical component is to measure the interests and concerns of stakeholders who may be involved in the future of private forest land. Your answers to this questionnaire will provide valuable information. Please take a few moments to complete the survey and return it to the mailing address at the bottom of the second page by July 18, 2008. Feel free to distribute copies of this questionnaire to anyone you think is interested in South Dakota's private forest and nontrust tribal forest lands. You may also complete this survey on-line at www.southdakotaforestlegacy.org.

Please tell us about yourself:

Note: All information will be used strictly for the purposes of the private forest land assessment. None of the information will be used for any other purpose or given to any other organization or individual.

Residence Zip Code:	County of Residence:
· —————	

What setting do you live in? (please circle one): Urban / Suburban / Rural

Age Group: (circle one)	Highest Education Level	Annual Household Income
18 & Under	High School Not Completed	Less than \$20,000
19 to 25	High School/GED	\$20,001 to \$40,000
26 to 35	Some College	\$40,001 to \$60,000
36 to 45	Associates / Technical Degree	\$60,001 to \$80,000
46 to 55	4 Year College Degree	\$80,001 to \$100,000
56 to 65	Some Graduate School	Greater than \$100,000
65 & Over	Graduate Degree	

Do you live in South Dakota? Y / N

If so, for how many years?

Less than 1 1 to 5 6 to 10

Greater than 11

Do you or someone in your household own 10 or more acres of South Dakota forest land? $Y \, / \, N$

What best describes your primary interest in private forest land conservation?


I am a (please choose all that apply):	
Federal /State/Tribal government natura	
County /Local government natural resou	arce-related agency employee
Other federal/state/tribal/county/local (p	please circle one) government employee
Private/Nontrust Tribal land owner	
Land-use planner	
Forestry / Timber industry employee	
Conservation / Preservation association	member
Elected federal/state/county/local (pleas	e circle one) public official
Private natural resource professional	
Other:	
Please choose only your five (5) most importa	ant state-wide criteria for protection of critical
private forest:	
Wetlands/riparian areas	Threatened and Endangered Species
Water Quality / Quantity	Unique Ecological Areas
Private Hunting Reserves	Mineral / Gas / Oil Resources
Fishing	Forest Timber Products
Lakes, Rivers, and Streams	Non-Timber Forest Products
Large Continuous Forest	Recreation opportunities
Flora / Fauna Species Diversity	Wildlife Habitat
Wildfire Control Issues	Big Game Hunting
Lifestyle Protection for Land Owner	Bird Hunting
Wildlife Viewing	Growth / Sprawl Control
Scenic Landscape Viewing	Private Property Rights
Historical / Archaeological Sites	Other:
Please provide any other comments that you	believe would be helpful to the South Dakota
Division of Resource Conservation and For	restry as it conducts this assessment. Attach
additional sheets if necessary. If you would li	ke to be contacted by the project manager, please
provide your name, address, phone number and	email address.

Thank you very much for taking the time to provide your valuable input. Please mail to our consultant:


Western Environment and Ecology, Inc. 2217 W. Powers Avenue Littleton, Colorado 80120

Appendix D Ranked Average Response by Criteria Graph


Ranked Average Response by Criteria





Ranked Average Response by Criteria





Appendix E Response Graphs by Criteria

































































Appendix F

Black Hills FLA Boundary Description

Description of the Black Hills Forest Legacy Area Boundary

The Black Hills Forest Legacy Area (FLA) boundary is as follows.

The <u>southern boundary</u> of the Black Hills FLA is the Cheyenne River starting at the South Dakota-Wyoming border and continuing east along the Cheyenne River through Edgemont, and the Angostura reservoir to the DM&E Railroad tracks, located just north of Oral.

The Black Hills FLA <u>eastern boundary</u> continues north along the DM&E Railroad tracks to the Cambell Street viaduct in Rapid City. At Rapid City the boundary follows Cambell Street to Omaha Street then heads north on 5th Avenue. Fifth Avenue becomes Haines Avenue, and the Black Hills FLA boundary continues north out of Rapid City to the intersection of Elk Creek Road. The Black Hills FLA boundary continues west along Elk Creek Road to Ricard Road and then heads north to Tilford Road. Then the FLA boundary heads east one mile on Tilford Road to the intersection of Middle Alkali Road, and heads north to Diamond Road, then heads west. Diamond Road curves north and becomes Titan Road. Then the Black Hills FLA boundary follows Titan road up to Alkali Road and heads west past the Sturgis airport to the junction of SD Highway 34. The FLA boundary continues west along SD Highway 34 into Sturgis and becomes Lazelle Street. The FLA boundary continues west along Lazelle Street. up to the eastern city limits of Sturgis. The FLA boundary then follows the city limits boundary north almost ¼ a mile until it meets the SE bend of Sly Hill Road. The boundary then follows Sly Hill Road north, which becomes Bear Butte Road, and continues following Bear Butte Road north to Bighorn Road. The FLA boundary then follows Bighorn Road west to the Lawrence County line where it becomes Mayer Road, and continues west to Whitewood Valley Road. It follows Whitewood Valley Road to the Junction of SD Highway 34, just north of the I-90 interchange.

From this point the <u>northern boundary</u> of the Black Hills FLA follows SD Highway 34 west through Belle Fourche to the South Dakota-Wyoming border.

Finally the <u>western boundary</u> of the Black Hills FLA is the South Dakota-Wyoming Border.

Appendix G

South Dakota Forest Legacy Area Maps

South Dakota Forest Legacy Areas with Privately Owned Forested Lands Campbell McPherson Harding FLA Harding Perkins Edmunds Red River_FLA-Lake Plain FLA Grant Potter Ziebach Codington Clark Deuel Sully Hamlin Meade Hyde Hand Stanley Hughes Beadle Brookings Kingsbury Haakon **Big Sioux FLA** Black Hills FLA Buffalo Moody Miner Sanborn Lyman Jackson Aurora Minnehaha McCook Hanson Davison Douglas Hutchinson Turner Pine Ridge Rosebud FLA Lincoln CharlesMix Lower Missouri FLA Yankton BonHomme Clay Notes: **Forest Legacy Areas** The goal of the privately forested lands layer is to show where all of the states privately held forests lie. This includes timberlands, riparian areas, Big Sioux FLA Lake Plain FLA Red Ri∨er FLA very small "patches" of forests, sheltetrbelts, and shelter-pastures. Most stewardship projects involve privately owned forests. This data was extracted from the NLCD2001 landcover raster dataset. Forested values 41, 42, 43, & 91 (Deciduous Forest, Conifer Forest, Mixed Forest, and Woody Wetlands) were selected and reclassed 1 for forest lands, 0 for all other lands. Then the analysis mask (Layer 13) Lower Missouri FLA Harding FLA Pine Ridge Rosebud FLA Pri∨ate Forested Lands was used to mask out all of the publicly owned forestlands. The resulting grid includes only the privately owned forestlands.


Harding Forest Legacy Area with Privately Owned Forested Lands








0 2.5 5



Pine Ridge Rosebud Forest Legacy Area


with Privately Owned Forested Lands







Lake Plain Forest Legacy Area with Privately Owned Forested Lands









Lower Missouri Forest Legacy Area

with Privately Owned Forested Lands



